	Case 2:24-cv-09243-PA-JC Document	nt 16 Filed 04/07/25 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:107
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP Layal L. Bishara (SBN 329154) bisharal@gtlaw.com Lisa C. McCurdy (SBN 228755) mccurdyl@gtlaw.com 1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900 Los Angeles, CA 90067-2121 Tel: 310-586-7700; Fax: 310-586-7800 Attorneys for Plaintiff JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.)0
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12 13		
14	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,	Case No.: 2:24-cv-09243
15 16	Plaintiff, v.	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
17	MICAH REED,	
18	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Percy Anderson
19		Action Filed: October 28, 2024
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1		

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Plaintiff JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase") hereby responds to the Court's Order to Show Cause as to why this action should not be dismissed for want of prosecution. (ECF No. 14.) At the outset, Chase notes that it fully accepts the Court's denial of the parties' stipulation to extend Defendant Micah Reed's ("Defendant") answer deadline and regrets the Court's expenditure of judicial resources, time, and efforts in addressing the present issue.

In light of the Court's denial of the parties' most recent stipulation to extend Defendant's response deadline, Chase filed a request for entry of default against Defendant on April 7, 2025. Chase has acted in good faith and did not agree to extend Defendant's response deadline for any improper purpose or delay. Instead, Chase agreed to extend Defendant's response deadline at Defendant's request and due to circumstances unforeseen to Chase at the time it filed the Complaint.

After Chase filed and served the Complaint, Defendant's criminal counsel requested an extension for Defendant to file an Answer or other responsive pleading based on extenuating circumstances in connection with a criminal investigation. Chase agreed to extend Defendant's response deadline pursuant to Local Rule 8-3 as a courtesy to allow time for Defendant to secure civil counsel to represent him in the above-captioned action.

With the investigation still ongoing, Defendant's criminal counsel again requested an extension of Defendant's response deadline, to which Chase agreed. The parties subsequently filed a stipulated request to further extend Defendant's time to respond to the Complaint, accompanied by a declaration from counsel pursuant to the Court's rules. The Court denied the stipulated request, giving rise to the present order to show cause.

This Court should not dismiss the Complaint because the facts underlying this civil suit stand unchanged. As detailed in the Complaint, it is indisputable that a fraudulent check was deposited into Defendant's account; Defendant withdrew substantial amounts of such deposited funds from his account, leaving a significantly overdrawn balance on the account; and Defendant contractually agreed to be responsible for any such overdrawn balance.

Case 2:24-cv-09243-PA-JC Document 16 Filed 04/07/25 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:109

Accordingly, Chase has requested entry of default against Defendant, as it has in several related lawsuits filed in courts across the nation. *See e.g.*, *JPMorgan Chase Bank*, *N.A. v. In and Out Appliances*, *LLC*, No. 24-24175-Civ-Scola (S.D. Fl. Oct. 28, 2024); *JPMorgan Chase Bank*, *N.A. v. Ikemi*, No. 4:24-cv-04140 (S.D. Tex. Oct. 28, 2024); *JPMorgan Chase Bank*, *N.A. v. Rieves*, No. 1:24-cv-04913-TWT, (N.D. Ga. Oct. 28, 2024). Defendant has not secured any civil counsel to date and has not appeared in the above-captioned action by his deadline to do so.

Chase reiterates its regret for any inconvenience caused to the Court and respectfully requests that the Court maintain this action on the docket so that Chase can obtain a default judgment against Defendant.

Dated: April 7, 2025 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: <u>/s/ Layal Bishara</u>
Layal Bishara
Attorneys for Plaintiff JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.